

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,448	06/24/2003	Dave Anderson	60027.0196US01/BS# 030094	4385
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 09/17/2007 & GOULD PC		60027.0196US01/BS# 4385 030094 EXAMINER NEWAY, SAMUEL G ART UNIT PAPER NUMB 2626	IINER
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			NEWAY, SAMUEL G	
MINNEAPOLI	5, MIN 33402-0903	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/603,448	ANDERSON ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samuel G. Neway	2626				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Samuel G. Neway</u> .	(3) <u>Yuri Eliezer</u> .					
(2) <u>Kent Stier</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>12 September 2007</u> .		•				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)⊡ applicant's representative	e)				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: 1.						
Identification of prior art discussed: Mozayeny (USPGPub 2002/0035493) and Roundtree (USPGPub 2001/0047264).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	y) was not reached. h) N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed that the proposed amended claims seem to overcome the 103 rejections. However, it was noted that the amendments would not be entered as they raise new issue after a final rejection, which would require further search and/or consideration.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF T	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			
· 						
TĀLIVALDIS IVARS PRIMARY EXAM	ŠMITS INÉR					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	-	·				

Application No.

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Applicant(s)